

TOWN OF ORANGE, MASSACHUSETTS

MANAGEMENT LETTER

JUNE 30, 2010



100 Quannapowitt Parkway
Suite 101
Wakefield, MA 01880
T. 781-914-1700
F. 781-914-1701
www.powersandsullivan.com

To the Honorable Board of Selectmen
Town of Orange, Massachusetts

In planning and performing our audit of the financial statements of the governmental activities, the business-type activities, each major fund, and the aggregate remaining fund information of the Town of Orange, Massachusetts as of and for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2010, in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America, we considered the Town's internal control over financial reporting (internal control) as a basis for designing our auditing procedures for the purpose of expressing our opinions on the financial statements, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the Town's internal control. Accordingly, we do not express an opinion on the effectiveness of the Town's internal control.

A control deficiency exists when the design or operation of a control does not allow management or employees, in the normal course of performing their assigned functions, to prevent or detect misstatements on a timely basis. A significant deficiency is a control deficiency, or a combination of control deficiencies, that adversely affects the entity's ability to initiate, authorize, record, process, or report financial data reliably in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles such that there is more than a remote likelihood that a misstatement of the entity's financial statements that is more than inconsequential will not be prevented or detected by the entity's internal control.

A material weakness is a significant deficiency, or a combination of significant deficiencies, that results in more than a remote likelihood that a material misstatement of the financial statements will not be prevented or detected by the entity's internal control.

Our consideration of internal control was for the limited purpose described in the first paragraph and would not necessarily identify all deficiencies in internal control that might be significant deficiencies or material weaknesses. We did not identify any deficiencies in internal control that we consider to be material weaknesses, as defined above.

However, during our audit we became aware of matters that are opportunities for strengthening internal controls and operating efficiency. The memorandum that accompanies this letter summarizes our comments and suggestions concerning those matters.

This communication is intended solely for the information and use of management of the Town of Orange, Massachusetts, and is not intended to be and should not be used by anyone other than these specified parties.

Wakefield, Massachusetts
March 23, 2011

TOWN OF ORANGE, MASSACHUSETTS

MANAGEMENT LETTER

JUNE 30, 2010

TABLE OF CONTENTS

PAGE

Current Year Recommendations

Government Accounting Standards Board (GASB) Statement #54 1

Continuing Matters Previously Reported

Fraud Risk Assessment 3

Internal Control Policies and Procedures Manual 4

Develop Written Disaster Recovery Procedures 4

CURRENT YEAR RECOMMENDATIONS

Informational Comment

GOVERNMENT ACCOUNTING STANDARDS BOARD (GASB) STATEMENT #54

Comment

In February 2010, the GASB issued Statement #54, *Fund Balance Reporting and Government Fund Type Definitions*, which is required to be implemented in fiscal year 2011. The objective of this Statement is to enhance the usefulness of fund balance information by providing clearer fund balance classifications that can be more consistently applied and by clarifying the existing governmental fund type definitions. This Statement establishes fund balance classifications that comprise a hierarchy based primarily on the extent to which a government is bound to observe constraints imposed upon the use of the resources reported in governmental funds.

The initial distinction that is made in reporting fund balance information is identifying amounts that are considered *nonspendable*, such as fund balance associated with inventories. This Statement also provides for additional classification as restricted, committed, assigned, and unassigned based on the relative strength of the constraints that control how specific amounts can be spent.

The *restricted* fund balance category includes amounts that can be spent only for the specific purposes stipulated by constitution, external resource providers, or through enabling legislation. The *committed* fund balance classification includes amounts that can be used only for the specific purposes determined by a formal action of the government's highest level of decision-making authority. Amounts in the *assigned* fund balance classification are intended to be used by the government for specific purposes but do not meet the criteria to be classified as restricted or committed. In governmental funds other than the general fund, assigned fund balance represents the remaining amount that is not restricted or committed. *Unassigned* fund balance is the residual classification for the government's general fund and includes all spendable amounts not contained in the other classifications. In other funds, the unassigned classification should be used only to report a deficit balance resulting from overspending for specific purposes for which amounts had been restricted, committed, or assigned.

Governments are required to disclose information about the processes through which constraints are imposed on amounts in the committed and assigned classifications.

Governments also are required to classify and report amounts in the appropriate fund balance classifications by applying their accounting policies that determine whether restricted, committed, assigned, and unassigned amounts are considered to have been spent. Disclosure of the policies in the notes to the financial statements is required.

This Statement also provides guidance for classifying stabilization amounts on the face of the balance sheet and requires disclosure of certain information about stabilization arrangements in the notes to the financial statements.

The definitions of the general fund, special revenue fund types, capital projects fund types, and permanent fund types are clarified by the provisions in this Statement. Interpretations of certain terms within the definition of the special revenue fund type have been provided and, for some governments, those interpretations may affect the activities they choose to report in those funds. The capital projects fund type definition also was clarified for better alignment with the needs of preparers and users. Definitions of other governmental fund types also have

been modified for clarity and consistency.

Recommendation

As it appears that this GASB Statement will significantly impact the fund balance classifications presently reported, we recommend that management begin to study and evaluate these changes for financial statement reporting and disclosure purposes, and to formulate plans to be used in explaining these changes to interested parties within the Town and to the external users of the Town's financial statements.

CONTINUING MATTERS PREVIOUSLY REPORTED

FRAUD RISK ASSESSMENT

Comment

The opportunity to commit and conceal fraud exists where there are assets susceptible to misappropriation and inadequate controls to prevent or detect the fraud. To address this risk, we recommend that the Town perform a risk assessment to identify, analyze, and manage the risk of asset misappropriation. Risk assessment, including fraud risk assessment, is one element of internal control. Thus, ideally, the Town's internal control should include performance of this assessment, even though our annual financial statement audits include consideration of fraud.

The fraud risk assessment can be informal and performed by a management-level individual who has extensive knowledge of the Town that might be used in the assessment. Ordinarily, the management-level individual would conduct interviews or lead group discussions with personnel who have extensive knowledge of the Town, its environment, and its processes. The fraud risk assessment process should consider the Town's vulnerability to misappropriation of assets. When conducting the self-assessment, questions such as the following can be considered:

- What individuals have the opportunity to misappropriate assets? These are individuals who have access to assets susceptible to theft and to records that can be falsified or manipulated to conceal the theft.
- Are there any known pressures that would motivate employees with the opportunity to misappropriate assets? Pressures may relate to financial stress or dissatisfaction. In assessing whether these pressures may exist, the assessor should consider whether there is any information that indicates potential financial stress or dissatisfaction of employees with access to assets susceptible to misappropriation.
- What assets of the Town are susceptible to misappropriation?
- Are there any known internal control weaknesses that would allow misappropriation of assets to occur and remain undetected?
- How could assets be stolen? Assets can be stolen in many ways besides merely removing them from the premises. For example, cash can be stolen by writing checks to fictitious employees or vendors and cashing them for personal use.
- How could potential misappropriation of assets be concealed? Because many frauds create accounting anomalies, the perpetrator must hide the fraud by running through an adjustment to another account. Generally, fraud perpetrators may use accounts that are not closely monitored.

Continuing Recommendation

We recommend that management develop and implement a fraud risk assessment program to identify, analyze, and manage the risk of asset misappropriation. Department heads should provide management with information detailing any activities within their departments that may lend themselves to potential fraud, i.e. identification of idle cash or collections that don't get turned over daily or instances where internal controls over Town assets are not in place or are not functioning as intended, etc.

INTERNAL CONTROL POLICIES AND PROCEDURES MANUAL

Comment

We noted that most departments do not maintain a formal internal control policy and procedures manual documenting day-to-day processing and controls. The Town is at risk if critical tasks cannot be completed due to extended or unforeseen absences and for undocumented operational changes. A formal internal control policy and procedures manual would assist each department head with training, documenting, and assuring the continuity of operational policies and procedures that have been approved by management.

Continuing Recommendation

We recommend that each department head develop an internal control policy and procedures manual that includes proper reviews and approvals by management. The document should be written with sufficient detail to allow a new employee the ability to complete the task by reviewing its respective directions. This document should be updated for any system or policy changes. A master manual of all procedures should be maintained and stored in a secure location. In addition, all department heads should be familiar with all policies and procedures within their office and be able to complete all necessary tasks in order to sufficiently train employees.

Current Status – Partially Resolved

The Town Accountant and the Accounting Clerk have completed their manuals. There are several other Town departments that have manuals in process; however, they are not completed as of the date of this report.

DEVELOP WRITTEN DISASTER RECOVERY PROCEDURES

Comment

We are not aware of the existence of formal documented procedures over the back-up, storage, and disaster recovery policies of the Town. We strongly encourage that these processes are documented, tested, and monitored to ensure that critical electronic information is not lost should a disaster occur. The time to make contingency plans is before disaster strikes, so that all personnel will be aware of their responsibilities in the event of an emergency situation that precludes the use of the existing facilities.

Continuing Recommendation

We recommend that management develop a disaster recovery plan for all departments that includes, but is not limited to, the following matters:

1. Location of, and access to, off-site storage.
2. A listing of all data files that would have to be obtained from the off-site storage location.
3. Identification of a backup location (name and telephone number) with similar or compatible equipment for emergency processing. (Management should make arrangements for such backup with another organization, a computer vendor, or a service center. The agreement should be in writing.)
4. Responsibilities of various personnel in an emergency.
5. Priority of critical applications and reporting requirements during the emergency period.